Conservation and Development Policies: The Plan for Connecticut

2018-2023 Draft Revision

www.ct.gov/opm/cdplan

CONTINUING LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ON STATE PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

JUNE 28, 2017



PRESENTED BY: OFFICE OF POLICY AND MANAGEMENT



State C&D Plan Revision and Adoption Process

The State C&D Plan is developed by OPM, adopted by the General Assembly, and implemented by state agencies (CGS Sec. 16a-24 thru Sec. 16a-33)



2018-2023 C&D Plan Revision Timeline

- September 15, 2016 OPM submitted an initial draft of the State C&D Plan to the Continuing Legislative Committee on State Planning and Development for a 90-day review. (CGS Sec. 16a-28(a))
- May 12, 2017 OPM published a revised draft C&D Plan based on feedback from the Continuing Committee and informal public workshops. (CGS Sec. 16a-28(b))
- May 12, 2017 October 16, 2017 OPM conducts public hearings and solicits comments on the draft C&D Plan. (CGS Sec. 16a-28(c))
- December 1, 2017 OPM submits a recommended draft State C&D Plan to the Continuing Committee for consideration. (CGS Sec. 16a-29)
- 2018 Legislative Session Continuing Committee holds a public hearing on the draft State C&D Plan, prior to making its own recommendation to the General Assembly for approval or disapproval. (CGS Sec. 16a-30(a))

State C&D Plan Implementation

- CGS Sec. 16a-31(a) requires agencies to be consistent with the C&D Plan whenever they undertake, or authorize grants for, any of the following actions using more than \$200,000 in state or federal funds:
 - The acquisition of real property
 - The development or improvement of real property
 - The acquisition of public transportation equipment or facilities



State C&D Plan Implementation (cont.)

- CGS Sec. 16a-31(b) requires agencies to request an advisory statement from OPM commenting on the extent to which any proposed action is in conformity to the C&D Plan
- CGS Sec. 16a-31(c) requires OPM to submit an advisory statement commenting on the extent to which Bond Commission agenda items are in conformity with the C&D Plan

CGS Sec. 16a-31(e) requires agencies to submit a draft of any plan prepared under state or federal law to OPM for a review of consistency with the C&D Plan

Growth Management Principles (GMP)

- ► GMP #1: Develop within Areas of Existing and Planned Infrastructure
- ► GMP #2: Expand Housing Opportunities for all Household Types
- GMP #3: Develop Around Transportation Nodes and Corridors
- ► GMP #4: Conserve and Restore the Natural Environment
- ► GMP #5: Protect Environmental Assets Critical to Health and Safety
- ► GMP #6: Integrate Planning Across all Levels of Government

Determining Consistency: Finding the Right Balance in Managing Conflicts

Importance of Municipal POCD

- Timely adoption ensures eligibility for discretionary state funding
- Key role in Priority Funding Area exception process
- Conduit for consistency among local land use commissions
- Role of Regional Councils of Governments (COGs), with regard to Regional POCD and GIS data coordination
- Improving transparency between State C&D Plan and CEPA statutory requirements (i.e., why vs. how)

Measuring Progress

► CGS Sec. 16a-27(e)

- For each policy in the C&D Plan, (A) assign a priority; (B) estimate funding for implementation and identify potential funding sources; (C) identify each entity responsible for implementation; and (D) establish a schedule for implementation
- For each Growth Management Principle, determine three benchmarks to measure progress in implementation of the principles, one of which shall be a financial benchmark

► CGS Sec. 16a-32(c)

OPM required to report annually to the Continuing Committee on the progress made in implementing the C&D Plan, and the extent to which state actions are in conformity with the plan Priority Funding Areas (PFAs) Delineated on the Locational Guide Map (LGM) (CGS Sec. 16a-35c — 16a-35h)



Continuing Committee Endorsement & Role of LGM (May 2013)

- State agencies shall not use the LGM by itself to determine consistency with the text of the C&D Plan
 - LGM shall only be used to determine whether a growthrelated project is located within a priority funding area
- Priority funding area requirements of CGS Sec. 16a-35d allow for a PFA exception process that is weighted toward consistency with the municipal POCD
 - Ensures local support for projects located outside of PFAs
 - Reduces the need for interim map changes
 - Encourages municipalities to develop robust POCDs

Priority Funding Area Criteria:

- Designation as an Urban Area or Urban Cluster in 2010 Census
- Boundaries that intersect a ½ mile buffer surrounding existing or planned mass-transit stations (busway or passenger rail)
- Existing or planned sewer service
- Existing or planned water service
- Local bus routes when service is provided 7 days a week

Conservation Criteria:

- Core forest areas greater than 250 acres
- Drinking water supply watersheds
- Aquifer protection areas
- Wetland soils greater than 25 acres
- Undeveloped prime, statewide important, and locally important agricultural soils greater than 25 acres
- Hurricane inundation zones
- 100-year flood zones
- Critical wildlife habitats
- Locally important conservation areas (data submitted by municipalities)

PFA Delineation Options for 2018-2023

Geographic unit (i.e., Census Block), PFA Criteria, and Conservation Criteria remain unchanged

- Public input being sought on whether to change methodology for delineating the boundaries of PFAs from Census Blocks containing <u>one</u> to any <u>two</u> or more of the following criteria:
 - Designation as an Urban Area or Urban Cluster in 2010 Census
 - Boundaries that intersect a ½ mile buffer surrounding existing or planned mass-transit stations (busway or passenger rail)
 - Existing or planned sewer service
 - Existing or planned water service
 - Local bus routes when service is provided 7 days a week

Priority Funding Areas



Larger Census Blocks in less populated areas

Smaller Census Blocks in highly populated areas

Water Service

Area passes through one corner of Census Block:

> Critical habitat area (conservation factor)

Results in <u>entire</u> Census Block being designated as a **Priority Funding Area (PFA)**:



Balanced PFA designation only where conservation factors exist

Application of the LGM for 2018-2023

Priority Funding	Balanced Priority	Village Priority	Conservation	Undesignated
Areas	Funding Areas	Funding Areas	Areas	Areas
Growth-related projects may proceed without an exception	Growth-related projects may proceed without an exception, if the sponsoring agency documents how it will address any potential policy conflicts after consulting with the appropriate resource consultation agency	Growth-related projects may proceed without an exception, if the sponsoring agency documents how it will help sustain village character	Growth-related projects may proceed with an exception*	Growth-related projects may proceed with an exception*

* Exception Process Requires a State Growth-Related Project to be Consistent with the Municipality's POCD





Check OPM's website for information and updates:

www.ct.gov/opm/cdplan

Dan Morley Bruce Wittchen (MetroCOG, NHCOG, NVCOG, WestCOG) Matt Pafford (CRCOG, SCRCOG) Eric K. Lindquist (NECCOG, RiverCOG, SECCOG)